



Economic Research Team (S) (160)

TEAM NUMBER _____

RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER TEAM

PRESENTATION SCORE

Judge 1 (80 points) _____

Judge 2 (80 points) _____

Judge 3 (80 points) _____

Total Judges' Points _____

Divided by # of Judges _____

AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE _____ (80 maximum)

SPECIFICATION SCORE _____ (40 maximum)

TECHNICAL SCORE

Judge 1 (130 points) _____

Judge 2 (130 points) _____

Judge 3 (130 points) _____

Total Judges' Points _____

Divided by # of Judges _____

AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE _____ (130 maximum)

TOTAL SCORE _____ (250 maximum)

RANK



Economic Research Team (S) (160)

Judge Number _____

Team Number _____

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Evaluation of Oral Presentation	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Awarded
Opening and summary	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Content of presentation	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Effectiveness of presentation: Voice projection, transitions, flow, stage presence, etc.	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Answers to judges' questions	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (80 points maximum)					

Props and/or additional items shall not be used as a basis for scoring.

Specification Points Rubric

SPECIFICATION POINTS: All points or none per item are awarded by the proctor per contestant, <i>not</i> per judge.		Points Awarded
Set-up lasted no longer than three (3) minutes – 5 points Presentation lasted no longer than seven (7) minutes – 5 points	10	
Documentation submitted at time of check-in: keyed and signed Team Entry Form (1 copy) and Research Paper (1 copy) <i>Must have copies for preliminaries and finals</i>	10	
All registered team members in attendance for entire event	10	
Word-processed research paper and Works Cited page(s) followed the Style & Reference Manual	10	
TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (40 points maximum)		

TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS = 250



Economic Research Team (S) (160)
JUDGE'S COMMENTS

Contest Name _____

Contestant ID/Team Number _____

Judge Number _____

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)

(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)